## ERRATUM: "AN INFINITE PRESENTATION FOR THE MAPPING CLASS GROUP OF A NON-ORIENTABLE SURFACE WITH BOUNDARY"

## RYOMA KOBAYASHI AND GENKI OMORI

The purpose of this note is to call attention to an error in the paper [1]. In addition, we notice the abelianization of the mapping class group  $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n})$  for g = 1, 2.

**Correction of relations.** There is an error in part of the relations (D1a)-(D4g) in the presentation in [1, Proposition 3.2]. The mistake is due to a miscalculation of the exponent of  $d_k^1$  at the end of the relations (we call the exponent the *index*). We list the revision of relations as follows:

- Relation (D1b) for i = 1: " $y(a_{i;k}a_i^{-1})y^{-1} = \dots r_{2;k}r_{1;k}d_{n-1}^{-2}$ "  $\rightarrow$  " $y(a_{i;k}a_i^{-1})y^{-1} = \dots r_{2;k}r_{1;k}$ ",
- Relation (D2a) for m = i 1: " $a_m r_{i;k} a_m^{-1} = \dots r_{i;k} (a_{i-1;k} a_{i-1}^{-1}) d_k$ "  $\rightarrow$  " $a_m r_{i;k} a_m^{-1} = \dots r_{i;k} (a_{i-1;k} a_{i-1}^{-1})$ ",
- Relation (D2b) for i = 2: " $yr_{i;k}y^{-1} = \dots r_{2;k}r_{1;k}d_{n-1}$ "  $\rightarrow$  " $yr_{i;k}y^{-1} = \dots r_{2;k}r_{1;k}$ ",
- Relation (D4e) for m = 1 and l > j: " $r_{m;l}(\bar{s}_{j;k}d_j^{-1})r_{m;l}^{-1} = \{ \dots \}^{-1}(\bar{s}_{j;k}d_j)^{-1} \{ \dots \}$ "  $\rightarrow$  " $r_{m;l}(\bar{s}_{j;k}d_j^{-1})r_{m;l}^{-1} = \{ \dots \}^{-1}(\bar{s}_{j;k}d_j^{-1}) \{ \dots \}$ ".

The last error is a typo. The first three errors are due to a mistake of [1, Lemma 5.9]. To be precise,  $\varepsilon$  in Lemma 5.9 is correct as follows.

$$\varepsilon = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{for Relation (D1e)' for } m = i + 1, \\ 1 & \text{for Relation (D1d)' for } m = i + 1, \\ -1 & \text{for Relations (D1b)' for } i = 2, \text{ (D1c)' for } i = 4, \\ & \text{and (D1d)' for } m = i - 1 \\ -2 & \text{for Relation (D1e)' for } m = i, \\ 0 & \text{for the other cases.} \end{cases}$$

The relation  $[r_{2;1}] = [r_{1;1}]$  in  $H_1(\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n});\mathbb{Z})$ . By (the corrected version of) Relation (D2b) for i = 2, we have  $2[r_{1,k}] = 1$  for  $1 \le k \le n-1$ . By Relation (D2a) for m = i - 1 or m = i, we have  $[r_{i+1,k}] = -[r_{i,k}]$  for  $1 \le i \le g-1$ . Hence, by using these relations, we have

$$[r_{2,k}] = -[r_{1,k}] = [r_{1,k}]$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>There is a place where  $d_k$  is written incorrectly as  $d_{n-1}$ .

in  $H_1(\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n});\mathbb{Z})$ . Since  $[r_{2,k}] = [r_{1,k}]$  has order 2 in  $H_1(\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n});\mathbb{Z})$ , by a similar argument above, we also have  $[r_{i+1,k}] = [r_{i,k}]$  in  $H_1(\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n});\mathbb{Z})$  for  $1 \le i \le g-1$ .

**Relations (D1a)–(D4g) for** n = 2. For  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ , we regard  $N_{g,k}$  as the surface which is obtained from  $N_{g,n}$  by attaching n-k disks along  $\delta_{n-1}, \delta_{n-2}, \ldots, \delta_k$ . The natural inclusion  $N_{g,k+1} \hookrightarrow N_{g,k}$  induces a surjective homomorpism  $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,k+1}) \to \mathcal{M}^+(N_{g,k}, x_0)$ , where  $x_0$  is a base point in the interior of the disk capping  $\delta_k$ . Since the kernel of this homomorphism is the infinite cyclic group generated by the boundary twist  $d_{k+1}$ , a relation in  $\mathcal{M}^+(N_{g,k}, x_0)$  lifts to  $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,k+1})$  up to a power of  $d_{k+1}$ . We can regard a relation in  $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,k+1})$  as a relation  $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n})$  by considering an inclusion  $N_{g,k+1} \hookrightarrow N_{g,n}$  as in [1, Figure 21].

We have the following exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(N_{g,k})^+ \xrightarrow{j_{x_0}} \mathcal{M}^+(N_{g,k}, x_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{M}(N_{g,k}) \longrightarrow 1,$$

where  $j_{x_0}$  is the point pushing homomorphism about the base point  $x_0$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ is the forgetful homomorphism induced by forgetting  $x_0$ . By the exactness, for  $\gamma \in \pi_1(N_{g,k})^+$  and  $f \in \mathcal{M}^+(N_{g,k}, x_0)$ , the product  $fj_{x_0}(\gamma)f^{-1}$  lies in the image  $j_{x_0}(\pi_1(N_{g,k})^+)$ . Relations (D1a)–(D4g) are induced from conjugation of images of generators for  $\pi_1(N_{g,k})^+$  in [1, Lemma 5.5] by lifts of generators of  $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,k})$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

We explain the meaning of subscripts in Relations (D1a)–(D4g).

- The subscripts  $1 \leq j,k \leq n-1$  and  $1 \leq i \leq g$  in Relations (D1a)– (D4g): they are ones of the images by  $j_{x_0}$  of generators for  $\pi_1(N_{g,k})^+$ in [1, Lemma 5.5], that lies in the left-hand side in Relations (D1a)–(D4g), which are conjugated by lifts of generators of  $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,k})$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ .
- The subscripts  $1 \leq l, t \leq k$  and  $1 \leq m \leq g$  in Relations (D1a)–(D4g): they are ones of the lifts of generators for  $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,k})$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ , that also lies in the left-hand side in Relations (D1a)–(D4g), which conjugate  $j_{x_0}(\gamma)$ 's, where  $\gamma$  is a generator of  $\pi_1(N_{g,k})^+$  in [1, Lemma 5.5].

When n = 2, since  $k \leq n - 1 = 1$ , we can not take  $1 \leq l, t < k$ . Hence the presentation in [1, Proposition 3.2] does not have Relations (D\*d)–(D\*g). Similarly, since we have k = j when n = 2, elements  $s_{j,k}$  and  $\bar{s}_{j,k}$  are not defined. Hence the presentation in [1, Proposition 3.2] does not have Relations (D3\*) and (D4\*), either. Thus, when n = 2, Relations (D1a)–(D1c) and (D2a)–(D2c) only remain. In particular, in the case  $g \leq 3$  and n = 2, since b is not defined in  $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,k})$ , Relations (D1a), (D1b), (D2a), and (D2b) only remain.

The abelianization of  $\mathcal{M}(N_{1,n})$ . First, we remark that Relations (D2e)– (D4g) of [1, Lemma 5.5] are trivial relations in  $H_1(\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n});\mathbb{Z})$ , since the relations form  $YXY^{-1} = ZXZ^{-1}$ . Since the elements  $a_i, y, b$ , and  $a_{i;j}$  are not defined in  $\mathcal{M}(N_{1,n})$ , in the case n = 1, the presentation in [1, Proposition 3.2] does not have Relations (D\*a)–(D\*d) and (D1\*). Thus, we have the presentation for  $\mathcal{M}(N_{1,n})$ in [1, Proposition 3.2] is as follows:

**Proposition 1.** For  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(N_{1,n})$  admits the presentation with generators  $d_i$  $(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ ,  $r_{1,j}$   $(1 \leq j \leq n-1)$ ,  $s_{i,j}$   $(1 \leq i < j \leq n-1)$ , and  $\bar{s}_{i,j}$  $(1 \leq i < j \leq n-1)$ , and the following defining relations: Relations (D0), (D2e), (D2f), (D2g), (D3e), (D3f), (D3g), (D4e), (D4f), and (D4g) in [1, Proposition 3.2].

Since Relations (D2e)–(D4g) of [1, Lemma 5.5] are trivial relations in  $H_1(\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n});\mathbb{Z})$ , by Proposition 1, the abelianization of  $\mathcal{M}(N_{1,n})$  is as follows:

**Proposition 2.** For  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$H_1(\mathcal{M}(N_{1,n});\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2\left(n-1+\binom{n-1}{2}\right)}.$$

The abelianization of  $\mathcal{M}(N_{2,n})$ . Since the element *b* is not defined in  $\mathcal{M}(N_{2,n})$ , in the case n = 2, the presentation in [1, Proposition 3.2] does not have Relations (D\*c). Thus, we have the presentation for  $\mathcal{M}(N_{2,n})$  in [1, Proposition 3.2] is as follows:

**Proposition 3.** For  $n \ge 2$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(N_{2,n})$  admits the presentation with generators  $a_1$ , y,  $d_i$   $(1 \le i \le n-1)$ ,  $a_{1;j}$   $(1 \le j \le n-1)$ ,  $r_{i,j}$   $(1 \le i \le 2, 1 \le j \le n-1)$ ,  $s_{i,j}$   $(1 \le i < j \le n-1)$ , and  $\bar{s}_{i,j}$   $(1 \le i < j \le n-1)$ , and the following defining relations for  $1 \le k \le n-1$ ,  $1 \le l < t < k$ , and  $1 \le i, m \le g$ :

By using Proposition 3, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.** 

$$H_1(\mathcal{M}(N_{2,n});\mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^3 \text{ for } n = 2, \\ \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n-1}{2}+1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{2(n-1)+1} & \text{for } n \ge 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For conveniences, we write an element of  $\mathcal{M}(N_{2,n})$  and its equivalence class in  $H_1(\mathcal{M}(N_{2,n});\mathbb{Z})$  by the same symbol. We recall that Relations (D0), (D2e)– (D3b), (D3d)–(D4b), and (D4d)–(D4g) are trivial in  $H_1(\mathcal{M}(N_{2,n});\mathbb{Z})$  again. The last relations mean that  $\bar{s}_{l,k;i} = \bar{s}_{l,k}$  in  $H_1(\mathcal{M}(N_{2,n});\mathbb{Z})$ . Relations (D1a), (D1d), (D1f), and (D1g) are trivial in  $H_1(\mathcal{M}(N_{2,n});\mathbb{Z})$ . Relations (D1a), (D1d), (D1f), and easy argument), Relations (D2a) and (D2b) are equivalent to the relations  $r_{1;k} = r_{2;k}$  and  $r_{1;k}^2 = 1$  in  $H_1(\mathcal{M}(N_{2,n});\mathbb{Z})$ . Up to the relations  $a_1^2 = 1$ ,  $r_{1;k} = r_{2;k}$ , and  $r_{1;k}^2 = 1$  in  $H_1(\mathcal{M}(N_{2,n});\mathbb{Z})$ , Relation (D1b) for i = 1 is equivalent to the relation  $a_{1;k}^2 = 1$ , and Relation (D1b) for i = 2 is equivalent to the relation  $r_{1;k} = d_k$ in  $H_1(\mathcal{M}(N_{2,n});\mathbb{Z})$ .

In the case n = 2, by an argument in the paragraph "Relations (D1a)–(D4g) for n = 2", only Relations (D1a), (D1b), (D2a), and (D2b) remain in the presentation of Proposition 3. Hence, as a presentation of an abelian subgroup (i.e. we omit commutative relations), we have

$$H_1(\mathcal{M}(N_{2,2});\mathbb{Z})$$

$$\cong \langle a_1, y, d_1, a_{1;1}, r_{1;1} | a_1^2 = a_{1;1}^2 = r_1^2 = 1, r_{1,1} = d_1 \rangle$$

$$\cong \langle a_1, y, a_{1;1}, r_{1;1} | a_1^2 = a_{1;1}^2 = r_1^2 = 1 \rangle$$

$$\cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^3.$$

Assume that  $n \geq 3$ . We recall that  $\bar{s}_{l,k;i} = \bar{s}_{l,k}$  in  $H_1(\mathcal{M}(N_{2,n});\mathbb{Z})$ . Up to the relations  $r_{1;k} = r_{2;k}$  and  $r_{1;k}^2 = 1$ , Relation (D1e) for  $m \in \{1,2\}$  is equivalent to the relation  $s_{l;k}\bar{s}_{l;k}d_k^{-2} = 1$  in  $H_1(\mathcal{M}(N_{2,n});\mathbb{Z})$ . Similarly, Relation (D2d) for  $i \in \{1,2\}$  is equivalent to the relation  $s_{l;k}\bar{s}_{l;k}d_l^{-2} = 1$  in  $H_1(\mathcal{M}(N_{2,n});\mathbb{Z})$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(\mathcal{M}(N_{2,n});\mathbb{Z}) \\ &\cong \ \left\langle a_1, y, d_i, a_{1;i}, r_{1;i} \ (1 \le i \le n-1), s_{i,j}, \bar{s}_{i,j} \ (1 \le i < j \le n-1) \right\rangle \\ &\left| a_1^2 = a_{1;i}^2 = r_{1;i}^2 = r_{1,i} d_i^{-1} = 1 \ (1 \le i \le n-1), s_{i;j} \bar{s}_{i;j} d_j^{-2} = s_{i;j} \bar{s}_{i;j} d_i^{-2} = 1 \ (1 \le i < j \le n-1) \right\rangle \\ &\cong \ \left\langle a_1, y, a_{1;i}, r_{1;i} \ (1 \le i \le n-1), s_{i,j}, \bar{s}_{i,j} \ (1 \le i < j \le n-1) \right\rangle \\ &\left| a_1^2 = a_{1;i}^2 = r_{1;i}^2 = 1 \ (1 \le i \le n-1), s_{i;j} \bar{s}_{i;j} \ (1 \le i < j \le n-1) \right\rangle \\ &\cong \ \left\langle a_1, y, a_{1;i}, r_{1;i} \ (1 \le i \le n-1), s_{i,j}, \bar{s}_{i,j} \ (1 \le i < j \le n-1) \right\rangle \\ &\cong \ \left\langle a_1, y, a_{1;i}, r_{1;i} \ (1 \le i \le n-1), s_{i,j}, \bar{s}_{i,j} \ (1 \le i < j \le n-1) \right\rangle \\ &\cong \ \left\langle a_1, y, a_{1;i}, r_{1;i} \ (1 \le i \le n-1), s_{i,j}, \bar{s}_{i,j} \ (1 \le i < j \le n-1) \right\rangle \\ &\cong \ \left\langle a_1, y, a_{1;i}, r_{1;i} \ (1 \le i \le n-1), s_{i,j}, \bar{s}_{i,j} \ (1 \le i < j \le n-1) \right\rangle \\ &\cong \ \left\langle a_1, y, a_{1;i}, r_{1;i} \ (1 \le i \le n-1), s_{i,j}, \bar{s}_{i,j} \ (1 \le i < j \le n-1) \right\rangle \\ &\cong \ \left\langle a_1, y, a_{1;i}, r_{1;i} \ (1 \le i \le n-1), s_{i,j}, \bar{s}_{i,j} \ (1 \le i < j \le n-1) \right\rangle \\ &\cong \ \left\langle a_1, y, a_{1;i}, r_{1;i} \ (1 \le i \le n-1), s_{i,j} \ (1 \le i < j \le n-1) \right\rangle \\ &\cong \ \left\langle a_1, y, a_{1;i}, r_{1;i} \ (1 \le i \le n-1), s_{i,j} \ (1 \le i < j \le n-1) \right\rangle \\ &\cong \ \left\langle a_1, y, a_{1;i}, r_{1;i} \ (1 \le i \le n-1), s_{i,j} \ (1 \le i < j \le n-1) \right\rangle \\ &\cong \ \left\langle a_1, y, a_{1;i}, r_{1;i} \ (1 \le i \le n-1), s_{i,j} \ (1 \le i < j \le n-1) \right\rangle \\ &\cong \ \left\langle a_1, y, a_{1;i}, r_{1;i} \ (1 \le i \le n-1), s_{i,j} \ (1 \le i < j \le n-1) \right\rangle \\ &\cong \ \left\langle a_1, y, a_{1;i}, r_{1;i} \ (1 \le i \le n-1), s_{i,j} \ (1 \le i < j \le n-1) \right\rangle \\ &\cong \ \left\langle a_1, y, a_{1;i}, r_{1;i} \ (1 \le i \le n-1), s_{i,j} \ (1 \le i \le n-1) \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

## References

 R. Kobayashi, G. Omori, An infinite presentation for the mapping class group of a nonorientable surface with boundary, Osaka J. Math. 59 (2022), no. 2, 269–314.

(RYOMA KOBAYASHI) DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL EDUCATION, ISHIKAWA NATIONAL COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY, TSUBATA, ISHIKAWA, 929-0392, JAPAN Email address: kobayashi\_ryoma@ishikawa-nct.ac.jp

(Genki Omori) Department of Materials Science and Engineering, College of Engineering, Shibaura Institute of Technology, 307 Minuma, Saitama-shi, Saitama, 337-8570, Japan

 $Email \ address: \ {\tt omoriQsic.shibaura-it.ac.jp}$